

I am livid!

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of unfair manipulation and bullying tactics of affiliates by the owner.

SAD but TRUE:
People still assume there is a "fairness doctrine."
(What a loss that was)

They assume that their news is "journalistically fair."
(not recently)

OUR MASS MEDIA HAS BECOME LIKE THE HOUSE
NEWSLETTER OF THE HUGE CORPORATIONS...
(NEEDLESS TO SAY...NO DISSENT WILL BE
PERMITTED, STICK TO THE OFFICIAL LINE...OR
ELSE!)

This is an example of how very wrong they are...

If this was one outlet, that would be one thing...

But this outfit reaches 25% of the U.S. with thier
OWNER MANDATED PROPAGANDA.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves AS A PRIVATE
KINGDOM OR DICTATORSHIP free of charge, WHEN
it is SUPPOSED TO BE obligated by law to serve the
public interest. It is increasingly CLEAR when large
companies control the airwaves, we get more of
what's good for the bottom line and their
POLITICALLY SELF SERVING interests and less of
what we need for our democracy.

Instead of something produced at "BIG BROTHER
PRAVDA'S News Central" far away, it's FAR more
important that we see real people from our own
communities and more substantive news about
issues that matter.

WHEN THESE "FOLKS" TURN DOWN UNION PAID
ADVERTISING
AND POLITICAL PAID ADVERTISING CAPRICIOUSLY
AT WILL.
WHEN THEY MANDATE THE SHOWING WHAT IS
CLEARLY POLITICAL PROPAGANDA UNDER THE
TRANSPARENT PRETENSE "IT IS NEWS"

IT BECOMES CLEAR THEY NO LONGER SERVE THE
PUBLIC INTEREST

ONLY THEIR OWN VERY GREEDY POCKETS

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen
media ownership rules, not weaken them. They
show why the license renewal process needs to be
less automatic and should involve A GOOD DEAL
MORE than a returned postcard. Thank you.